

The Eighth Annual HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study

January 2010

Author: Alan Qualls and Kristin Reed, M.P.H.; **Co-author**: Rick May, M.D. **Major Contributors**: Carol Nicholas, M.S.T.C., editing and publishing Harold Taylor, Ph.D. and Alex Brown, statistical analysis

Health Grades, Inc., 500 Golden Ridge Road, Suite 100, Golden, Colorado 80401





$H \, \text{ealth} \, G \, \text{rades}^{\circ}$

The Eighth Annual HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study

January 2010

Executive Summary	1
Summary of Findings	2
Introduction	3
Methodology	3
Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology	4
Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence [™] 2010 Methodology	5
Comparison of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence to All Other Hospitals	5
Results	6
On Average, Distinguished Hospitals had 28.60% Lower Risk-Adjusted Inhospital Mortality	6
On Average, Distinguished Hospitals Performed 8.66% Better in Inhospital Complications	6
Distinguished Hospitals Improved at a Greater Rate for Risk-Adjusted Mortality.	7
Prevalence of Distinguished Hospitals Across States	8
Interpretation of Results	10
Acknowledgements	10
Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010 List	11
Appendix B: Inhospital Mortality Performance: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence (DH-CE) Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals	21
Appendix C: Inhospital Complications Performance: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence (DH-CE) Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals	24
Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology	26





$H \, \text{EALT} \, \text{H} \, G \, \text{RADES}^{\circ}$

The Eighth Annual HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study

January 2010

Authors: Alan Qualls and Kristin Reed, M.P.H.; Co-author: Rick May, M.D. Major Contributors: Carol Nicholas, M.S.T.C., editing and publishing Harold Taylor, Ph.D. and Alex Brown, statistical analysis Health Grades, Inc., 500 Golden Ridge Road, Suite 100, Golden, Colorado 80401

Executive Summary

Each year HealthGrades independently assesses the quality of care provided at the nation's 5,000 nonfederal hospitals across 26 procedures and diagnoses. These ratings are available to consumers at www.HealthGrades.com to help make health care decisions based on quality of care. In this eighth annual study, HealthGrades objectively identifies hospitals that are performing among the best in the nation for these 26 diagnoses and procedures. These hospitals are further designated as a HealthGrades Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence[™] (DH-CE).

HealthGrades specifically examines the differences in risk-adjusted mortality and complications between top-performing hospitals and the rest of the nation's hospitals. This analysis highlights the vast differences in patient care between top-performing hospitals and the rest.

HealthGrades' analysis is based on approximately 40 million Medicare hospital discharges for the years 2006, 2007, and 2008. HealthGrades identifies the Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence based on overall performance of risk-adjusted outcomes associated with 26 common Medicare inpatient procedures and diagnoses. This analysis compares inhospital risk-adjusted mortality rates for 17 inpatient procedures and diagnoses, and inhospital risk-adjusted major complications rates for nine procedures.

269 hospitals were designated as HealthGrades' Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence. Of the nation's 5,000 short-term, nonfederal, non-children's, acute care hospitals, only 269 hospitals (approximately 5%) are designated as HealthGrades Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence. In this study, these 269 Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence are compared to all other U.S. hospitals to identify trends in outcomes, relative risk, and improvement for the years 2006, 2007, and 2008.

Overall, the Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence outperformed all other hospitals from 2006 through 2008. They had lower risk-adjusted mortality overall and improved at a faster rate than all other hospitals nationwide. All hospitals experienced an increase in risk-adjusted complications from 2006 through 2008 but Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence still had overall lower risk-adjusted complications. If all hospitals performed at the level of Distinguished Hospitals, 150,132 Medicare lives could potentially have been saved and 13,104 Medicare inhospital complications could potentially have been avoided. Patients who choose to receive their care at a Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence certainly will have a lower risk for an adverse clinical outcome relative to all other hospitals.



Summary of Findings

HealthGrades' Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence have lower risk-adjusted mortality and lower inhospital complications compared to all other hospitals. During 2006 through 2008, they had:

Patients have on average a 28.60% lower chance of dying at the nation's Distinguished Hospitals compared to all other hospitals across 17 procedures and conditions.

If all hospitals performed at the level of Distinguished Hospitals, 150,132 Medicare lives could potentially have been saved and 13,104 Medicare inhospital complications may have been avoided. Overall 28.60% lower risk-adjusted mortality across 17 procedures and diagnoses where inhospital mortality was the end point of study.

Risk-adjusted mortality was lower at Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence for all 17 procedures and diagnoses. In fact, risk-adjusted mortality at Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence was 15.31% to 41.89% lower than all other hospitals (see *Appendix B*).

 Overall 8.66% lower risk-adjusted complications across nine procedures where inhospital complications were the end point of study.

Risk-adjusted complications were lower at Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence for all nine complication-based procedures studied. In fact, risk-adjusted complications at Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence were 3.82% to 13.80% lower than all other hospitals (see *Appendix C*).

From 2006 through 2008, if all hospitals performed at the level of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence:

- 150,132 Medicare deaths may have been prevented.
- 13,104 Medicare inhospital complications may have been avoided.

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence showed greater overall improvement in risk-adjusted mortality from 2006 through 2008 with an average:

• Reduction in risk-adjusted mortality of 13.91%, compared to 10.41% for all other hospitals.

Thirty-six states have one or more Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence (compared to 35 last year).

• Delaware has the highest percentage of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence at 50.00% of eligible hospitals, followed by Maryland (48.30%), Minnesota (41.18%), Florida (40.20%), and Connecticut (40.00%) (see *Table 4*).



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 3

Introduction

HealthGrades, the nation's leading independent health care ratings organization, has been studying the quality of care at the nation's hospitals for over ten years and making that information available to consumers at www.HealthGrades.com. Since the release of the first ratings, HealthGrades' Web traffic has grown to 7.5 million unique visitors per month and the federal government has deployed a Website to share hospital quality information with Medicare beneficiaries. Clearly, health care is considered an important topic by many Americans and the government, as reflected in the major legislative issue of the current administration.

In October of 2009, HealthGrades released its *Twelfth Annual HealthGrades Hospital Quality in America Study* evaluating hospital quality in 26 of the most common Medicare diagnoses and procedures and assessing differences between the best-performing and worst-performing hospitals by each procedure and diagnosis. The current study builds upon those results by evaluating hospitals on their overall performance across all 26 diagnoses and procedures.

This study identifies the best-performing hospitals overall by identifying the group with the lowest overall risk-adjusted mortality and inhospital major complications when compared with the nation's 5,000 short-term, nonfederal, non-children's, acute care hospitals. These 269 hospitals have been designated as Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and are ranked in the top 5% nationally.

Distinguished Hospitals have 28.60% lower risk-adjusted mortality and 8.66% lower riskadjusted complications compared to all other hospitals.

This study details the differences in outcomes between these elite hospitals and all other hospitals. It demonstrates what it could mean if all hospitals performed at the level that the best hospitals have been able to achieve. As a group, these best-performing hospitals have 28.60% lower risk-adjusted mortality across 17 diagnoses and procedures and 8.66% lower risk-adjusted inhospital complications across nine commonly performed procedures. This translates into potentially saving 150,132 Medicare lives and potentially preventing 13,104 Medicare inhospital complications between 2006 and 2008 if all hospitals performed at the very highest levels.

These Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence for 2010 are listed in *Appendix A* and online at www.HealthGrades.com.

This study highlights the variation in patient outcomes between the Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other U.S. hospitals by assessing, comparing, and studying the quality outcomes and trends for each group across the 26 procedures and diagnoses rated by HealthGrades for the years 2006 through 2008.

Methodology

In order to evaluate overall hospital performance and to identify the best-performing hospitals in clinical excellence across the U.S., HealthGrades uses a two-step methodology:

- 1. Assign star ratings for 26 procedures and diagnoses using the *HealthGrades Hospital Report* Cards[™] Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology. These star ratings are published in October of each year.
- 2. Identify the overall best-performing hospitals through additional analysis using the *Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence™ Methodology*.



Heart Failure
Pancreatitis
Pneumonia
 Pulmonary Embolism
Resection/Replacement of Abdominal
Aorta
Respiratory Failure
Sepsis
Stroke
 Valve Replacement Surgery
Hip Fracture Repair
Peripheral Vascular Bypass
Prostatectomy
Total Hip Replacement
Total Knee Replacement

The 26 procedures and diagnoses in this study are as follows.

Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology

To help consumers evaluate and compare hospital performance, HealthGrades analyzes patient outcome data for virtually every hospital in the country. HealthGrades purchased the initial data from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The Medicare data (MedPAR file) from CMS contains approximately 40 million inpatient records for Medicare hospitalizations from 2006 through 2008.

Risk-adjustment methodology allows for fair statistical comparison between hospitals with different populations. Using a logistic-regression based risk-adjustment model to compare performance among hospitals, hospitals are assigned one of three star ratings: 1-star (poor), 3-star (as expected), or 5-star (best) for each of the above patient groups. The purpose of risk adjustment is to obtain fair statistical comparisons among disparate populations or groups. Significant differences in demographic and clinical risk factors are found among patients treated in different hospitals and therefore, risk adjustment of the data is needed to make accurate and valid comparisons of clinical outcomes at different hospitals. To be eligible to receive a star rating, a hospital must have a minimum of 30 cases over the three years of study and at least five cases in the most recent year analyzed (2008).

More information regarding the risk-adjustment methodology for the 26 procedures and diagnoses above can be found in *Appendix D*. Visit www.HealthGrades.com to view hospital ratings and to read the complete methodology white paper *Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology*.



Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence™ 2010 Methodology

The Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence recognizes the best 5% of hospitals in the country for clinical excellence. These hospitals had lower risk-adjusted mortality and lower inhospital complications than all other hospitals in a broad range of medical services.

To be considered for the Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence, a hospital had to have received star ratings in at least **19** of the 26 procedures and diagnoses using MedPAR data.

After creating a list of hospitals that met the above criteria, HealthGrades took the following steps to determine the Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence recipients.

- Calculated the average star rating and average z-score for each hospital by averaging all of their MedPAR-based ratings and the corresponding z-scores.
- 2. Ranked hospitals in descending order by their average star rating, with ties broken by average z-score.
- 3. Selected the top 269 hospitals on the list (which represents the top 5% of all hospitals).
- 4. Designated the hospitals as recipients of the 2010 Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence.

Comparison of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence to All Other Hospitals

To identify differences in performance between Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence hospitals and all other hospitals, HealthGrades calculated the actual (observed) and predicted (expected) mortality rates for each of the 17 mortality-based procedures and diagnoses and the actual (observed) and predicted (expected) complication rates for the nine complication-based procedures for each hospital. Hospitals are divided into two groups, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other hospitals (non-DH-CE), and then overall observed and expected rates are calculated for both groups in each of the 26 procedures and diagnoses.

The purpose of comparing the predicted to actual is to make a fair comparison between hospitals with different populations. Sicker patients have higher associated observed mortality/complications, so using the observed (O) to expected (E) ratio takes into consideration how sick the patient population is at a given hospital. Observed-to-expected ratios were calculated by procedure or diagnosis by year, for both groups of hospitals. The ratios were then evaluated for differences.

- An O/E ratio of less than 1 means that the procedure or diagnosis measured had fewer deaths/inhospital complications than expected given the patient population.
- An O/E ratio of greater than 1 means that the procedure or diagnosis measured had more deaths/inhospital complications than expected given the patient population.



Results

Distinguished Hospitals improved at a faster rate in 13 procedures and diagnoses compared to all other hospitals. Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence consistently outperformed all other hospitals during the years 2006, 2007, and 2008. Distinguished Hospitals had:

- Lower risk-adjusted inhospital mortality across all 17 mortality-based procedures and diagnoses in almost every year studied.
- Lower risk-adjusted inhospital complications across the nine complication-based procedures.

In addition, not only did Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence have better clinical quality outcomes, but their risk-adjusted mortality rates improved at a faster rate from 2006 through 2008 than all other hospitals across 13 of the 17 mortality-based cohorts studied.

On Average, Distinguished Hospitals had 28.60% Lower Risk-Adjusted Inhospital Mortality.

When compared to all other hospitals, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence had lower riskadjusted inhospital mortality across all 17 procedures and diagnoses studied. The differences ranged from 15.31% in resection/replacement of abdominal aorta to 41.89% in the treatment of diabetic acidosis and coma (see *Appendix B*).

When comparing Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence to all other hospitals, the largest differences in risk-adjusted inhospital mortality were noted in the following areas (see *Table 1*).

Table 1. Relative Reduction in Risk-Adjusted Mortality Associated with Distinguished Hospitals Compared to All Other Hospitals

Procedure / Diagnosis	Relative Reduction in Risk- Adjusted Mortality Associated with Distinguished Hospitals Compared to All Other Hospitals
Diabetic Acidosis and Coma	41.89%
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	38.32%
Pneumonia	38.22%
Pulmonary Embolism	35.24%
Heart Failure	34.95%
Bowel Obstruction	34.67%
Pancreatitis	34.20%
Gastrointestinal Bleed	33.88%
Stroke	30.43%
Gastrointestinal Surgeries and Procedures	24.49%

Distinguished Hospitals have lower risk-adjusted inhospital complications in all nine complicationbased procedures studied.

On Average, Distinguished Hospitals Performed 8.66% Better in Inhospital Complications.

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence demonstrated lower overall risk-adjusted inhospital complications across all nine common Medicare procedures studied. During the three years studied, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence performed, on average, 8.66% better than all other hospitals in inhospital complications associated with orthopedic surgery, neurosurgery, vascular surgery, prostate surgery, and cholecystectomy.



The relative risk as it pertains to emergent conditions, such as a hip fracture in elderly patients, was lower at Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence. The differences ranged from 3.82% in carotid surgery to 13.80% in total hip replacement. The largest differences in risk-adjusted inhospital complication rates were with orthopedic surgery, prostate surgery, and peripheral vascular bypass surgery (see *Table 2* and *Appendix C*).

Table 2. Relative Reduction in Risk-Adjusted Complications Associated with Distinguished Hospitals Compared to All Other Hospitals

Procedure / Diagnosis	Relative Reduction in Risk- Adjusted Complications Associated with Distinguished Hospitals Compared to All Other Hospitals
Total Hip Replacement	13.80%
Prostatectomy	13.58%
Peripheral Vascular Bypass	9.69%
Back and Neck Surgery (Except Spinal Fusion)	8.55%
Hip Fracture Repair	8.41%

Distinguished Hospitals Improved at a Greater Rate for Risk-Adjusted Mortality.

Over the three years of study, inhospital risk-adjusted mortality improved at both Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other hospitals. However, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence improved at a greater rate overall (see *Table 3*). Comparing 2008 data with 2006 data, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence had an average reduction in risk-adjusted inhospital mortality of 13.91% versus 10.41% for all other hospitals.

The evaluation of inhospital complications showed an increase in the average rate of complications from 2006 through 2008 for both Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other hospitals. Overall, risk-adjusted inhospital complication improvement rates were -5.02% for Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and -3.18% for all other hospitals. However, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence still had a lower three-year average rate of inhospital complications.

	% Improvement Mortality-Based Procedures and Diagnoses	% Improvement Complication- Based Procedures
Distinguished Hospitals	13.91%	-5.02%
All Other Hospitals	10.41%	-3.18%

Table 3. Overall Improvement Distinguished Hospitals Compared to All Other Hospitals

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence improved more than all other hospitals in 13 of the 17 mortality-based cohorts. The exceptions were coronary interventional procedures, gastrointestinal surgeries and procedures, pulmonary embolism, and valve replacement surgery (see *Appendix B*). However, the overall relative risk for these four cohorts is still lower at Distinguished Hospitals.



Of the nine complication-based cohorts, prostatectomy was the only cohort that showed a decrease in complication rates from 2006 to 2008 for <u>both</u> Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other hospitals. The increase in complication rates for Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence was lower in back and neck surgery (except spinal fusion), carotid surgery, and cholecystectomy (see *Appendix C*).

Prevalence of Distinguished Hospitals Across States

Thirty-six states have at least one hospital designated as a Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence (compared to 35 states last year). This year Alabama, Nebraska, and North Dakota joined the states that have at least one Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence, while Arkansas and Massachusetts no longer have at least one hospital designated as a Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence.

Delaware leads the nation in percentage of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence with 50.00% of eligible hospitals qualifying for the distinction; followed by Maryland (48.39%), Minnesota (41.18%), Florida (40.20%), and Connecticut (40.00%) (see *Table 4*).



Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence by Eligible Hospitals by State

Thirty-six states have at least one Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence.



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 9

State / Abbrevi	ation	Percent of Eligible	DH-CE Hospitals	Eligible Hospitals		State / Abbrevi	ation	Percent of Eligible	DH-CE Hospitals	Eligible Hospitals
Alabama	AL	3.57%	1	28	-	Montana	MT	33.33%	2	6
Alaska	AK	.00%	0	2		Nebraska	NE	9.09%	1	11
Arizona	AZ	20.00%	5	25		Nevada	NV	.00%	0	10
Arkansas	AR	.00%	0	19		New Hampshire	NH	.00%	0	8
California	СА	18.58%	21	113		New Jersey	NJ	11.36%	5	44
Colorado	CO	23.81%	5	21		New Mexico	NM	.00%	0	9
Connecticut	СТ	40.00%	8	20		New York	NY	7.46%	5	67
Delaware	DE	50.00%	2	4		North Carolina	NC	6.06%	2	33
Dist. of Columbia	DC	.00%	0	5		North Dakota	ND	33.33%	2	6
Florida	FL	40.20%	41	102		Ohio	OH	37.31%	25	67
Georgia	GA	22.22%	8	36		Oklahoma	OK	.00%	0	18
Hawaii	HI	.00%	0	3		Oregon	OR	11.11%	2	18
Idaho	ID	.00%	0	7		Pennsylvania	PA	17.33%	13	75
Illinois	IL	30.56%	22	72		Rhode Island	RI	.00%	0	5
Indiana	IN	13.89%	5	36		South Carolina	SC	4.17%	1	24
Iowa	IA	16.67%	3	18		South Dakota	SD	25.00%	1	4
Kansas	KS	21.43%	3	14	-	Tennessee	ΤN	12.90%	4	31
Kentucky	KY	16.67%	3	18		Texas	ΤX	18.56%	18	97
Louisiana	LA	4.17%	1	24		Utah	UT	12.50%	1	8
Maine	ME	.00%	0	5		Vermont	VT	.00%	0	2
Maryland	MD	48.39%	15	31		Virginia	VA	18.92%	7	37
Massachusetts	MA	.00%	0	34		Washington	WA	6.90%	2	29
Michigan	MI	36.17%	17	47		West Virginia	WV	8.33%	1	12
Minnesota	MN	41.18%	7	17		Wisconsin	WI	12.90%	4	31
Mississippi	MS	.00%	0	17	-	Wyoming	WY	.00%	0	2
Missouri	MO	17.14%	6	35						

Table 4. Percentage of Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence by State



Interpretation of Results

The Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence outperformed all other hospitals from 2006 through 2008, with a 28.60% lower risk-adjusted mortality rate and an 8.66% lower risk-adjusted inhospital complication rate among Medicare beneficiaries compared to all other hospitals. If all hospitals performed at this level, 150,132 Medicare lives could potentially have been saved and 13,104 Medicare inhospital complications could potentially have been avoided.

When evaluating risk-adjusted mortality, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence not only had lower risk-adjusted mortality overall but improved at a faster rate than all other hospitals nationwide.

In this year's study, we saw an increase in complications across all hospitals, both Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence and all other hospitals from 2006 to 2008. It is not possible to know the contributing factors for this without further study but there are several possible hypotheses. One possibility is that with the release of eight no-pay complications from the Federal government in the fall of 2008, perhaps hospitals are more diligent about identifying complications. Another potential explanation is the aging of the population and the increase in elective procedures performed among more elderly patients with chronic conditions who are more likely to experience a complication. Whatever the reason, hospitals overall experienced an increase in risk-adjusted complications from 2006 through 2008, but Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence still had overall lower risk-adjusted complication rates.

In conclusion, Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence outperform all other hospitals across all of the 17 mortality cohorts and all nine complication cohorts studied. These hospitals have high-quality care and have sustained excellent clinical results over time. This may be due to differences in aggressiveness of process improvement, use of protocols, and increased acceptance and support from key hospital stakeholders that quality is a priority. Patients who choose to receive their care at a Distinguished Hospital for Clinical Excellence certainly will have a lower risk for an adverse clinical outcome relative to all other hospitals.

Acknowledgements

Health Grades, Inc., 500 Golden Ridge Road, Suite 100, Golden, Colorado 80401

We thank the following people for their significant contributions to the study: Susan McBratney, Ph.D., editing; Christine Warga, quality assurance reviews; and Kelly Benning, Mike Brewer, Kevin Collins, Marigene Hartker, M.D., and Ashley Lemon for their helpful suggestions and reviews.

Health Grades Inc. is the leading independent health care ratings organization, providing quality ratings, profiles and cost information on the nation's hospitals, physicians, nursing homes and prescription drugs.



Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence[™] 2010 List

* Distinction cannot be used without a Licensing Agreement from Health Grades, Inc.

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence [™] 2010*	City
Alabama	
D.C.H. Regional Medical Center	Tuscaloosa
Arizona	
Banner Boswell Medical Center	Sun City
Banner Del E. Webb Medical Center	Sun City West
Mayo Clinic Hospital	Phoenix
Scottsdale Healthcare - Osborn	Scottsdale
Scottsdale Healthcare - Shea	Scottsdale
California	
Beverly Hospital	Montebello
Centinela Freeman Regional Medical Center - Centinela	Inglewood
including: Centinela Freeman Regional Medical Center -	
Memorial	Inglewood
El Camino Hospital	Mountain View
Fountain Valley Regional Hospital and Medical Center	Fountain Valley
Garfield Medical Center	Monterey Park
Glendale Memorial Hospital & Health Center	Glendale
Good Samaritan Hospital	Los Angeles
Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian	Newport Beach
Huntington Memorial Hospital	Pasadena
John Muir Medical Center - Concord	Concord
John Muir Medical Center - Walnut Creek	Walnut Creek
Mills-Peninsula Health Services	Burlingame
including: Mills Health Center	San Mateo
Saddleback Memorial Medical Center - Laguna Hills	Laguna Hills
including: Saddleback Memorial Medical Center San Clemente	San Clemente
Saint John's Hospital Health Center	Santa Monica
Saint Jude Medical Center	Fullerton
Santa Monica - UCLA Medical Center	Santa Monica
Scripps Memorial Hospital Encinitas	Encinitas
Scripps Memorial Hospital La Jolla	La Jolla
Scripps Mercy Hospital	San Diego
including: Scripps Mercy Hospital - Chula Vista	Chula Vista
Sequoia Hospital	Redwood City
St. Vincent Medical Center	Los Angeles



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 12 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010*	City
Colorado	
Centura Health - Penrose Saint Francis Health Services	Colorado Springs
North Colorado Medical Center	Greeley
Poudre Valley Hospital	Fort Collins
Presbyterian/St. Luke's Medical Center	Denver
The Medical Center of Aurora	Aurora
Connecticut	
Danbury Hospital	Danbury
Griffin Hospital	Derby
Hartford Hospital	Hartford
Hospital of Saint Raphael	New Haven
Manchester Memorial Hospital	Manchester
Middlesex Hospital	Middletown
Norwalk Hospital Association	Norwalk
Yale - New Haven Hospital	New Haven
Delaware	
Beebe Medical Center	Lewes
Christiana Care Health System - Christiana Hospital	Newark
including: Wilmington Hospital	Wilmington
Florida	
Baptist Hospital of Miami	Miami
Baptist Medical Center	Jacksonville
Bay Medical Center	Panama City
Boca Raton Community Hospital	Boca Raton
Brandon Regional Hospital	Brandon
Broward General Medical Center	Fort Lauderdale
Central Florida Regional Hospital	Sanford
Charlotte Regional Medical Center	Punta Gorda
Cleveland Clinic Hospital	Weston
Community Hospital	New Port Richey
Delray Medical Center	Delray Beach
Doctors Hospital of Sarasota	Sarasota
Fawcett Memorial Hospital	Port Charlotte
Flagler Hospital	Saint Augustine
Florida Hospital Fish Memorial	Orange City
Florida Hospital Memorial Medical Center	Daytona Beach
including: Florida Hospital Oceanside	Ormond Beach



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 13 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence [™] 2010*	City
Florida Hospital Orlando	Orlando
Gulf Coast Medical Center	Panama City
Halifax Medical Center	Daytona Beach
including: Atlantic Medical Center	Daytona Beach
Holy Cross Hospital	Fort Lauderdale
JFK Medical Center	Atlantis
Jupiter Medical Center	Jupiter
Kendall Regional Medical Center	Miami
Lawnwood Regional Medical Center and Heart Institute	Fort Pierce
Lee Memorial Hospital	Fort Myers
Martin Memorial Medical Center	Stuart
Mayo Clinic	Jacksonville
Memorial Hospital	Jacksonville
Memorial Hospital Pembroke	Pembroke Pines
Mercy Hospital	Miami
Munroe Regional Medical Center	Ocala
NCH Healthcare System	Naples
Oak Hill Hospital	Brooksville
Ocala Regional Medical Center/West Marion Hospital	Ocala
Palm Beach Gardens Medical Center	Palm Beach Gardens
Parrish Medical Center	Titusville
Physicians Regional Medical Center	Naples
Sarasota Memorial Hospital	Sarasota
Sebastian River Medical Center	Sebastian
University Hospital & Medical Center	Tamarac
Wuesthoff Medical Center - Rockledge	Rockledge
Georgia	
Dekalb Medical	Decatur
Gwinnett Medical Center	Lawrenceville
Houston Medical Center	Warner Robins
Memorial University Medical Center	Savannah
Northeast Georgia Medical Center	Gainesville
including: Northeast Georgia Medical Center - Lanier Park	Gainesville
Piedmont Fayette Hospital	Fayetteville
Piedmont Hospital	Atlanta
Saint Joseph's Hospital of Atlanta	Atlanta



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 14 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010*	City
Illinois	
Advocate Good Samaritan Hospital	Downers Grove
Advocate Lutheran General Hospital	Park Ridge
Alexian Brothers Medical Center	Elk Grove Village
Centegra Memorial Medical Center	Woodstock
Central DuPage Hospital	Winfield
Evanston Hospital	Evanston
including: Highland Park Hospital	Highland Park
Ingalls Memorial Hospital	Harvey
Little Company of Mary Hospital	Evergreen Park
Loyola University Hospital	Maywood
Mercy Hospital & Medical Center	Chicago
Northwest Community Hospital	Arlington Heights
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago
Our Lady of the Resurrection Medical Center	Chicago
Palos Community Hospital	Palos Heights
Provena Saint Joseph Medical Center	Joliet
Rush University Medical Center	Chicago
Saint Mary and Elizabeth Medical Center - Division	Chicago
St. Alexius Medical Center	Hoffman Estates
Saint Joseph Hospital	Chicago
Sherman Hospital	Elgin
Skokie Hospital	Skokie
Swedish Covenant Hospital	Chicago
Indiana	
Clarian Health Partners Incorporated	Indianapolis
including: Indiana University Medical Center	Indianapolis
Clark Memorial Hospital	Jeffersonville
Community Hospital	Munster
Floyd Memorial Hospital and Health Services	New Albany
St.Vincent Indianapolis Hospital	Indianapolis
lowa	
Mercy Medical Center - Cedar Rapids	Cedar Rapids
Mercy Medical Center - Des Moines	Des Moines
St. Luke's Hospital	Cedar Rapids

HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 15 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010*	City
Kansas	
St. Francis Health Center	Topeka
University of Kansas Hospital	Kansas City
Via Christi Regional Medical Center	Wichita
Kentucky	
Baptist Hospital East	Louisville
Owensboro Medical Health System	Owensboro
St. Elizabeth Medical Center	Edgewood
Louisiana	
Ochsner Medical Center	New Orleans
Maryland	
Baltimore Washington Medical Center	Glen Burnie
Doctors Community Hospital	Lanham
Franklin Square Hospital Center	Baltimore
Frederick Memorial Hospital	Frederick
Good Samaritan Hospital	Baltimore
Greater Baltimore Medical Center	Baltimore
Harbor Hospital	Baltimore
Holy Cross Hospital	Silver Spring
Howard County General Hospital	Columbia
Peninsula Regional Medical Center	Salisbury
Sinai Hospital of Baltimore	Baltimore
St. Joseph Medical Center	Towson
Suburban Hospital	Bethesda
Upper Chesapeake Medical Center	Bel Air
Washington Adventist Hospital	Takoma Park
Michigan	
Allegiance Health	Jackson
Beaumont Hospital - Grosse Pointe	Grosse Pointe
Beaumont Hospital - Royal Oak	Royal Oak
Bronson Methodist Hospital	Kalamazoo
Genesys Regional Medical Center	Grand Blanc
Hackley Hospital	Muskegon
Henry Ford Hospital	Detroit
Holland Hospital	Holland
Huron Valley Sinai Hospital	Commerce Township
McLaren Regional Medical Center	Flint



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 16 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence [™] 2010*	City
Munson Medical Center	Traverse City
Providence Hospital	Southfield
Sinai - Grace Hospital	Detroit
St. John Macomb Hospital	Warren
including: St. John Oakland Hospital	Madison Heights
St. Mary Mercy Hospital	Livonia
Spectrum Health Butterworth Hospital	Grand Rapids
including: Spectrum Health Blodgett Hospital	Grand Rapids
William Beaumont Hospital - Troy	Troy
Minnesota	
Fairview Ridges Hospital	Burnsville
Fairview Southdale Hospital	Edina
North Memorial	Robbinsdale
Park Nicollet Methodist Hospital	Minneapolis
St. Cloud Hospital	Saint Cloud
St. Luke's Hospital	Duluth
Unity Hospital	Fridley
Missouri	
Boone Hospital Center	Columbia
Missouri Baptist Medical Center	Saint Louis
Skaggs Regional Medical Center	Branson
SSM Saint Joseph Health Center	Saint Charles
including: SSM Saint Joseph Health Center - Wentzville	Wentzville
SSM Saint Mary's Health Center	Richmond Heights
St. Luke's Hospital	Chesterfield
Montana	
Benefis Health System	Great Falls
Kalispell Regional Medical Center	Kalispell
Nebraska	
BryanLGH Medical Center East	Lincoln
including: BryanLGH Medical Center West	Lincoln
New Jersey	
Clara Maass Medical Center	Belleville
Community Medical Center	Toms River
Hackensack University Medical Center	Hackensack
Jersey Shore University Medical Center	Neptune
Ocean Medical Center	Brick



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 17 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010*	City		
New York			
Albany Medical Center Hospital	Albany		
Maimonides Medical Center	Brooklyn		
New York-Presbyterian/Weill Cornell	New York		
including: New York Presbyterian - Columbia	New York		
Stony Brook University Medical Center	Stony Brook		
Winthrop - University Hospital	Mineola		
North Carolina			
Gaston Memorial Hospital	Gastonia		
Rex Hospital	Raleigh		
North Dakota			
Altru Hospital	Grand Forks		
Saint Alexius Medical Center	Bismarck		
Ohio			
Akron General Medical Center	Akron		
Aultman Hospital	Canton		
Bethesda North Hospital	Cincinnati		
Christ Hospital	Cincinnati		
Community Health Partners of Ohio - West	Lorain		
EMH Regional Medical Center	Elyria		
Good Samaritan Hospital	Dayton		
including: Dayton Heart and Vascular Hospital	Dayton		
Good Samaritan Hospital	Cincinnati		
Grandview Medical Center	Dayton		
Hillcrest Hospital	Mayfield Heights		
Kettering Medical Center	Kettering		
Marymount Hospital	Garfield Heights		
Mercy Franciscan Hospital - Mount Airy	Cincinnati		
Miami Valley Hospital	Dayton		
Mount Carmel Health	Columbus		
Northside Medical Center	Youngstown		
Ohio State University Hospitals	Columbus		
including: The Ohio State University Hospital East	Columbus		
Parma Community General Hospital	Parma		
South Pointe Hospital	Warrensville Heights		
Southwest General Health Center	Middleburg Heights		
St. Elizabeth Health Center	Youngstown		



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 18 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence™ 2010*	City		
St. John West Shore Hospital	Westlake		
St. Vincent Charity Hospital	Cleveland		
Summa Health Systems Hospitals	Akron		
The Toledo Hospital	Toledo		
Oregon			
Mercy Medical Center	Roseburg		
Saint Charles Medical Center - Bend	Bend		
Pennsylvania			
Alle Kiski Medical Center	Natrona Heights		
Allegheny General Hospital	Pittsburgh		
including: Allegheny General Hospital - Suburban	Pittsburgh		
Easton Hospital	Easton		
Hamot Medical Center	Erie		
Lancaster General Hospital	Lancaster		
Lehigh Valley Hospital	Allentown		
Main Line Hospitals - Lankenau	Wynnewood		
Mercy Hospital - Scranton	Scranton		
Pocono Medical Center	East Stroudsburg		
St. Luke's Hospital	Bethlehem		
including: St. Luke's Hospital - Allentown	Allentown		
The Reading Hospital and Medical Center	Reading		
The Western Pennsylvania Hospital Forbes Regional Campus	Monroeville		
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center – St. Margaret	Pittsburgh		
South Carolina			
AnMed Health	Anderson		
South Dakota			
Sanford USD Medical Center	Sioux Falls		
Tennessee			
Baptist Memorial Hospital	Memphis		
Memorial Healthcare System	Chattanooga		
Methodist Medical Center of Oak Ridge	Oak Ridge		
Saint Thomas Hospital	Nashville		
Texas			
CHRISTUS Santa Rosa Healthcare – San Antonio	San Antonio		
Doctors Hospital at Renaissance	Edinburg		
Harlingen Medical Center	Harlingen		



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 19 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence [™] 2010*	City		
Memorial Hermann Healthcare System	Houston		
including: Memorial Hermann Northwest Hospital	Houston		
Memorial Hermann Southeast Hospital	Houston		
Memorial Hermann Southwest Hospital	Houston		
Memorial Hermann The Woodlands Hospital	The Woodlands		
Memorial Hermann Memorial City Hospital	Houston		
Methodist Hospital	San Antonio		
including: Methodist Specialty and Transplant Hospital	San Antonio		
Metropolitan Methodist Hospital	San Antonio		
	San Anionio		
Methodist Willowbrook Hospital	Houston		
Mother Frances Hospital - Tyler	Tyler		
Providence Healthcare Network	Waco		
Rio Grande Regional Hospital	McAllen		
San Jacinto Methodist Hospital	Baytown		
South Texas Health - Edinburg Regional Medical Center	Edinburg		
including: South Texas Health - McAllen Medical Center /			
Heart Hospital	McAllen		
St. Luke's Episcopal Hospital	Houston		
Texas Health Harris Methodist Hospital Fort Worth	Fort Worth		
The Methodist Hospital	Houston		
including: Diagnostic Center Hospital	Houston		
Tomball Regional Hospital	Tomball		
Valley Regional Medical Center	Brownsville		
Woodland Heights Medical Center	Lufkin		
Utah			
Intermountain Medical Center	Murray		
Virginia			
Augusta Health	Fishersville		
Bon Secours Memorial Regional Medical Center	Mechanicsville		
Henrico Doctors' Hospital - Forest	Richmond		
including: Henrico Doctors' Hospital - Parham	Richmond		
Inova Alexandria Hospital	Alexandria		
Inova Fair Oaks Hospital	Fairfax		
Inova Fairfax Hospital	Falls Church		
Inova Loudoun Hospital	Leesburg		



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 20 Appendix A: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence 2010 List

City
Kirkland
Everett
Princeton
Milwaukee
Cudahy
La Crosse
West Allis
Brookfield

* Distinction cannot be used without a Licensing Agreement from Health Grades, Inc.



Appendix B: Inhospital Mortality Performance: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence (DH-CE) Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals

(3-Year Aggregate Relative Risk-Adjusted Inhospital Mortality Performance: 2006-2008)

Procedure or Diagnosis	Year	Total Number of U.S. Medicare Hospitalizations	DH-CE Hospitals Average Observed- to-Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by DH-CE Hospitals1	All Other U.S. Hospitals Average Observed-to- Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by All Other Hospitals ²	Relative Risk Reduction Associated with DH-CE Hospitals Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals ³	Number of Lives That Could Have Been Saved If All Patients were Treated at DH-CE Hospitals (2006- 2008) ⁴	P-Value (DH-CE Hospital Mortality Compared to National Mortality Average)
	2006	150,810	.76		1.10				<.001
Powel Obstruction	2007	147,947	.67		1.05				<.001
Dower Obstruction	2008	153,394	.67		1.06				<.001
	2006-2008	452,151	.70	12.10%	1.07	3.55%	34.67%	4,375	<.001
	2006	329,033	.76		1.19				<.001
Chronic Obstructive	2007	316,165	.63		1.09				<.001
Pulmonary Disease (COPD)	2008	373,110	.60		.95				<.001
	2006-2008	1,018,308	.66	20.89%	1.07	20.22%	38.32%	6,598	<.001
	2006	90,297	.92		1.14				.023
Coronany Punass Surgery	2007	82,740	.80		1.05				<.001
Coronary Dypass Surgery	2008	76,361	.75		.98				<.001
	2006-2008	249,398	.83	18.42%	1.06	14.34%	21.78%	1,145	<.001
	2006	323,383	.87		1.13				<.001
Coronary Interventional Procedures (Apgioplasty)	2007	284,950	.83		1.04				<.001
Stent)	2008	261,144	.79		1.02				<.001
,	2006-2008	869,477	.83	9.17%	1.06	9.51%	21.66%	2,060	<.001
	2006	54,085	.71		1.03				<.001
Diabatic Acidosis and Coma	2007	53,600	.52		1.13				<.001
Diabetic Actuosis and Coma	2008	54,759	.64		1.09				<.001
	2006-2008	162,444	.63	9.38%	1.08	-5.25%	41.89%	931	<.001
	2006	261,394	.78		1.17				<.001
Castrointestinal Blood	2007	251,910	.71		1.04				<.001
	2008	245,583	.63		1.01				<.001
	2006-2008	758,887	.71	19.60%	1.07	13.90%	33.88%	4,476	<.001



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 22 Appendix B: Inhospital Mortality Performance

Procedure or Diagnosis	Year	Total Number of U.S. Medicare Hospitalizations	DH-CE Hospitals Average Observed- to-Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by DH-CE Hospitals1	All Other U.S. Hospitals Average Observed-to- Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by All Other Hospitals ²	Relative Risk Reduction Associated with DH-CE Hospitals Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals ³	Number of Lives That Could Have Been Saved If All Patients were Treated at DH-CE Hospitals (2006- 2008) ⁴	P-Value (DH-CE Hospital Mortality Compared to National Mortality Average)
	2006	81,348	.82		1.09				<.001
Gastrointestinal Surgeries	2007	79,648	.77		1.03				<.001
and Procedures	2008	80,305	.80		1.04				<.001
	2006-2008	241,301	.80	2.09%	1.05	5.16%	24.49%	4,952	<.001
	2006	244,954	.92		1.08				<.001
Hoart Attack	2007	233,731	.85		1.03				<.001
пеан Ацаск	2008	232,202	.78		.99	-			<.001
	2006-2008	710,887	.85	15.43%	1.03	8.26%	17.56%	10,327	<.001
	2006	613,332	.76		1.13				<.001
Hoart Failuro	2007	570,903	.67		1.03				<.001
nealt railule	2008	536,230	.66		1.05				<.001
	2006-2008	1,720,465	.70	13.51%	1.07	6.79%	34.95%	19,785	<.001
	2006	50,316	.75		1.11				<.001
Dancreatitis	2007	48,368	.69		1.12				<.001
Failcreatius	2008	46,423	.66		.98				<.001
	2006-2008	145,107	.70	11.79%	1.07	11.72%	34.20%	1,127	<.001
	2006	513,531	.73		1.13				<.001
Pneumonia	2007	484,840	.64		1.04				<.001
Flieumorna	2008	454,662	.59		1.01				<.001
	2006-2008	1,453,033	.66	19.18%	1.06	10.87%	38.22%	24,714	<.001
	2006	50,618	.77		1.20				<.001
Bulmonary Embolism	2007	52,464	.68		1.08				<.001
Fullionally Emporisin	2008	51,252	.66		.98				<.001
	2006-2008	154,334	.70	13.85%	1.08	18.29%	35.24%	2,089	<.001
	2006	21,535	.94		1.08				.166
Resection/Replacement of	2007	21,387	.85		1.06				.020
Abdominal Aorta	2008	20,990	.82		.97				.007
	2006-2008	63,912	.88	12.05%	1.03	9.98%	15.31%	356	<.001



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 23 Appendix B: Inhospital Mortality Performance

Procedure or Diagnosis	Year	Total Number of U.S. Medicare Hospitalizations	DH-CE Hospitals Average Observed- to-Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by DH-CE Hospitals1	All Other U.S. Hospitals Average Observed-to- Expected Inhospital Mortality Ratio	% Improvement by All Other Hospitals ²	Relative Risk Reduction Associated with DH-CE Hospitals Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals ³	Number of Lives That Could Have Been Saved If All Patients were Treated at DH-CE Hospitals (2006- 2008) ⁴	P-Value (DH-CE Hospital Mortality Compared to National Mortality Average)
	2006	144,514	.86		1.10				<.001
Pespiratory Failure	2007	143,122	.80		1.06				<.001
Respiratory randre	2008	157,129	.74		.99				<.001
	2006-2008	444,765	.80	14.44%	1.05	9.95%	23.54%	18,699	<.001
	2006	257,026	.86		1.12				<.001
Sonsis	2007	269,596	.79		1.05				<.001
500313	2008	309,808	.76		1.00				<.001
	2006-2008	836,430	.80	11.44%	1.05	11.25%	24.02%	35,716	<.001
	2006	220,937	.80		1.12				<.001
Stroko	2007	210,085	.75		1.06				<.001
JUOKE	2008	206,846	.67		1.00				<.001
	2006-2008	637,868	.74	15.48%	1.06	10.49%	30.43%	11,828	<.001
	2006	38,424	.96		1.15				.155
Valve Replacement Surgery	2007	37,275	.87		1.05				<.001
valve Replacement Surgery	2008	37,654	.79		.94				<.001
	2006-2008	113,353	.88	17.59%	1.04	17.96%	16.07%	954	<.001
Totals		10,032,120						150,132	
3-Year Performance Averages			0.76	13.91%	1.06	10.41%	28.60%		

¹ Percent improvement determines improvement over time (2006 through 2008) for aggregate DH-CE hospitals. Calculated as follows: (O/E for 2006 – O/E for 2008) / (O/E for 2006) where the O/E is for the DH-CE hospitals.

² Percent improvement determines improvement over time (2006 through 2008) for aggregate Non-DH-CE hospitals. Calculated as follows: (O/E for 2006 – O/E for 2008) / (O/E for 2006) where the O/E is for the Non-DH-CE hospitals.

³ Relative Risk Reduction determines the difference in performance between DH-CE and All Other hospitals. Calculated as follows: (Non-DH-CE O/E – DH-CE O/E) / Non-DH-CE O/E.

⁴ Lives saved were calculated: All Other hospitals' 3-year actual number of mortalities – (All Other hospitals' 3-year expected number of mortalities x DH-CE O/E ratio).



Appendix C: Inhospital Complications Performance: Distinguished Hospitals for Clinical Excellence (DH-CE) Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals

(3-Year Aggregate Relative Risk-Adjusted Inhospital Complications Performance: 2006-2008)

Procedure or Diagnosis	Year	Total Number of U.S. Medicare Hospitalizations	DH-CE Hospitals Average Observed- to-Expected Inhospital Complications Ratio	% Improvement by DH-CE Hospitals1	All Other U.S. Hospitals Average Observed-to- Expected Inhospital Complications Ratio	% Improvement by All Other Hospitals ²	Relative Risk Reduction Associated with DH-CE Hospitals Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals ³	Number of Patients That Could Have Avoided Developing One or More Post- Op Complications If All Patients were Treated at DH-CE Hospitals (2006-2008) ⁴	P-Value (DH-CE Hospital Complications Compared to National Complication Average)
	2006	50,770	.96		1.03				.029
Back and Neck Surgery	2007	52,670	.93		1.01				<.001
(Spinal Fusion)	2008	56,484	1.01		1.04				.657
	2006-2008	159,924	.97	-5.09%	1.03	85%	6.07%	1,249	.003
	2006	57,208	.90	_	.99				<.001
Back and Neck Surgery	2007	53,695	.96	_	1.02				.047
(Except Spinal Fusion)	2008	54,096	.96		1.06				.041
	2006-2008	164,999	.94	-6.25%	1.02	-7.34%	8.55%	1,249	<.001
	2006	70,065	.95	-	.99				.044
Carotid Surgery	2007	67,028	.95	_	.97				.033
	2008	64,263	.99		1.04				.383
	2006-2008	201,356	.96	-4.19%	1.00	-5.40%	3.82%	418	.013
	2006	68,121	.94	_	1.00				.002
Cholecystectomy	2007	65,709	.96		1.01				.016
Choiceysiceioniy	2008	64,821	.95		1.04				.009
	2006-2008	198,651	.95	93%	1.02	-4.04%	6.45%	1,531	<.001
	2006	130,879	.91		1.02				<.001
Hin Fracture Renair	2007	128,628	.92		.97				<.001
The Macial Enceptin	2008	129,060	.97		1.06				.043
	2006-2008	388,567	.93	-7.46%	1.02	-4.11%	8.41%	3,187	<.001



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 25 Appendix C: Inhospital Complications Performance

Procedure or Diagnosis	Year	Total Number of U.S. Medicare Hospitalizations	DH-CE Hospitals Average Observed- to-Expected Inhospital Complications Ratio	% Improvement by DH-CE Hospitals ¹	All Other U.S. Hospitals Average Observed-to- Expected Inhospital Complications Ratio	% Improvement by All Other Hospitals ²	Relative Risk Reduction Associated with DH-CE Hospitals Compared to All Other U.S. Hospitals ³	Number of Patients That Could Have Avoided Developing One or More Post- Op Complications If All Patients were Treated at DH-CE Hospitals (2006-2008) ⁴	P-Value (DH-CE Hospital Complications Compared to National Complication Average)
	2006	20,598	.96		1.02				.193
Perinheral Vascular Bynass	2007	18,187	.85		1.06				.001
	2008	16,810	.98		1.01				.360
	2006-2008	55,595	.93	-2.35%	1.03	.71%	9.69%	370	.007
	2006	57,024	.90		1.05				.001
Prostatectomy	2007	54,739	.89		1.00				<.001
	2008	53,409	.86		1.00				<.001
	2006-2008	165,172	.88	3.90%	1.02	4.57%	13.58%	1,047	<.001
	2006	72,400	.85		1.00				<.001
Total Hip Replacement	2007	72,797	.83		1.01				<.001
	2008	75,398	1.00		1.10				.579
	2006-2008	220,595	.89	-18.34%	1.04	-10.36%	13.80%	1,796	<.001
	2006	182,028	.94		1.03				<.001
Total Knee Replacement	2007	180,162	.92		1.00				<.001
	2008	185,611	.99		1.05				.187
	2006-2008	547,801	.95	-4.48%	1.03	-1.77%	7.57%	2,257	<.001
Totals		2,102,660						13,104	
3-Year Performance Average			0.93	-5.02%	1.02	-3.18%	8.66%		

Percent improvement determines improvement over time (2006 through 2008) for aggregate DH-CE hospitals. Calculated as follows: (O/E for 2006 – O/E for 2008) / (O/E for 2006) where the O/E is for the DH-CE hospitals.

² Percent improvement determines improvement over time (2006 through 2008) for aggregate Non-DH-CE hospitals. Calculated as follows: (O/E for 2006 – O/E for 2008) / (O/E for 2006) where the O/E is for the Non-DH-CE hospitals.

³ Relative Risk Reduction determines the difference in performance between DH-CE and All Other hospitals. Calculated as follows: (Non-DH-CE O/E – DH-CE O/E) / Non-DH-CE O/E.

⁴ Complications avoided were calculated: All Other hospitals' 3-year actual number of complications – (All Other hospitals' 3-year expected number of complications x DH-CE O/E ratio).



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 26 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards[™] Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology

Visit www.HealthGrades.com to read the complete methodology white paper *Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes 2010 Methodology.*

Introduction

To help consumers evaluate and compare hospital performance, HealthGrades analyzed patient outcome data for virtually every hospital in the country. HealthGrades uses the following data source:

• Medicare inpatient data from the MedPAR database (purchased from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services) for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Ratings were based upon HealthGrades' risk-adjustment methodology described below. The purpose of risk adjustment is to obtain fair statistical comparisons among disparate populations or groups. Significant differences in demographic and clinical risk factors are found among patients treated in different hospitals. Risk adjustment of the data is needed to make accurate and valid comparisons of clinical outcomes at different hospitals.

Data Acquisition

The MedPAR data was selected for several reasons.

- Included in the database is virtually every hospital in the country, with the exception of military and Veterans Administration hospitals.
- Accuracy is regulated; hospitals are required by law to submit complete and accurate information with substantial penalties for those that report inaccurate or incomplete data.
- The Medicare population represents a majority of the patients for virtually all of the clinical categories studied. For example, Medicare patients account for approximately 55 – 60% of all cardiac patients.

For Multivariate Logistic Regression-Based Ratings (see below), HealthGrades conducted a series of data quality checks to preserve the integrity of the ratings. Based on the results of these checks, we excluded a limited number of cases because they were inappropriate for inclusion in the database or miscoded.

Examples of excluded patient records were:

- Patients under the age of 65
- Patients who left the hospital against medical advice or who were transferred to another acute care hospital
- Patients discharged alive with a length of stay that is inconsistent with the reason for admission. (For example, a patient discharged alive with a one-day length of stay for valve replacement surgery would be excluded because this procedure requires several days for recovery.)
- Patients who were still in the hospital when the Medicare claim was filed
- Patients with an invalid gender (for example, a prostatectomy related to a female patient)



Methodology for Ratings

HealthGrades' Multivariate Logistic Regression-Based Ratings methodology takes into account patient characteristics such as age, gender, and underlying medical conditions that could increase the patient's risk of mortality or complication.

Multivariate Logistic Regression-Based Ratings

The inhospital data for 26 procedures and diagnoses on the HealthGrades Web site represent three years of patient discharges from Medicare fiscal year 2006 through 2008.

In the initial analysis of the data, a separate data set was created for each group of patients having a specific procedure or diagnosis based on ICD-9-CM coding (e.g., coronary bypass surgery, total hip replacement). Each group of patients was defined by using the information on diagnoses and procedures coded in the patient records. See *Appendix A* in the complete *Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology* for a list of the diagnosis and procedure codes that define each patient cohort. The quality measure for some cohorts was mortality, whereas for other cohorts the quality measure was major complications.

For each patient cohort, HealthGrades developed a list of specific procedures and diagnosis that define the cohort, a list of risk factors (see *Appendix C* in the complete *Hospital Report Cards*TM *Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology*), and a list of post-surgical complications. These latter two lists were developed in the following manner:

- 1. Potential risk factors were identified as all clinically relevant diagnoses occurring in more than 0.5% of the patient population, demographic characteristics, and clinically relevant procedures.
- 2. Post-surgical complications were identified using a panel of clinical and coding experts.

In some cases an ICD-9 code can be either a risk or a complication. In these cases, a code is differentiated by the presence of a 900 post-operative complication code. For example in the case where a patient record contains 427.31 Atrial Fibrillation, that code is considered a co-morbid risk if it occurs by itself and a complication if there is a corresponding 997.1 Cardiac Complications, NEC code also present in the patient record.

Some diagnosis codes were merged together (e.g., primary and secondary pulmonary hypertension) to minimize the impact of coding variations.

Outcomes were binary, with documented major complications either present or not, and patients recorded as either alive or expired. In cohorts where the quality measure is major complications, mortality is considered a complication. See *Appendix B* in the complete *Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology* for a list of complications included in the quality measure "Major Complications."

Risk-Adjustment Methodology

Fair and valid comparisons between hospital providers can be made only to the extent that the riskadjustment methodology considers important differences in patient demographic and clinical characteristics. The risk-adjustment methodology used by HealthGrades defines risk factors as those clinical and demographic variables that influence patient outcomes in significant and systematic ways.



Risk factors may include age, gender, specific procedure performed, and co-morbid conditions such as hypertension, chronic renal failure, heart failure, and diabetes. The methodology is disease-specific and outcome specific. This means that individual risk models are constructed and tailored for each clinical condition or procedure, and also for each outcome. (For most mortality cohorts, outcomes studied included inhospital, 30 day, and 180 day mortality.)

Developing the HealthGrades ratings involved four steps for each disease state or procedure specific cohort (e.g., coronary bypass surgery) and quality measure (e.g., inhospital mortality or complications).

- 1. First, the predicted value (predicted number of deaths or complications at each hospital) was obtained using logistic regression models discussed in the next section *Statistical Models*.
- 2. Second, the predicted value was compared with the actual or observed, value (actual number of deaths or complications at each hospital). Only hospitals with at least 30 cases across three years of data and at least five cases in the most current year were included.
- 3. Third, a test was conducted to determine whether the difference between the predicted and actual values was statistically significant. This test was performed to make sure that differences were unlikely to be caused by chance alone.
- 4. Fourth, a star rating was assigned based upon the outcome of the test for statistical significance.

Statistical Models

Unique statistical models were developed for each patient cohort and each outcome using logistic regression.

Co-morbid diagnoses (e.g., hypertension, chronic renal failure, anemia, diabetes), demographic characteristics (e.g., age and gender), and specific procedures (e.g., percutaneous coronary intervention among coronary bypass surgery patients) were classified as potential risk factors. We used logistic regression to determine which of these were actually risk factors and to what extent they correlated with the quality measure (e.g., mortality). All risk factors that remained in the final model had to be **statistically significant (p** <0.05) in predicting the outcome (mortality, inhospital complications). In addition, risk factors are required to have an **odds ratio greater than 1.0**. There were occasional exceptions to this rule; for example, risk factors that have been documented in the medical literature to be protective and risk factors that are part of the cohort definition remain in the model even if the odds ratio was less than one (e.g., Streptococcal pneumoniae pneumonia is one type of pneumonia that makes up the Pneumonia cohort).

Complications were *not* counted as risk factors as they were considered a result of care received during the admission. The top five risk factors are procedures/diagnoses that are most likely to lead to the outcome (e.g., highest odds ratio). They are not necessarily those with the highest volume. See *Appendix* C in the complete *Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology* for a list of the top five risk factors for each procedure or diagnosis.

The statistical models were checked for validity and finalized. All of the models were highly significant, with c-statistics ranging from ~ 0.6 to ~ 0.9 . These cohort and outcome-specific models were then used to estimate the probability of the outcome for each patient in the cohort. Patients were then aggregated for each hospital to obtain the predicted outcome for each hospital.



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 29 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Statistical significance tests were performed for each patient cohort to identify, by hospital, whether the actual and predicted rates were significantly different. We used a standardized score (z-score) to establish an approximate 90% confidence interval.

Assignment of Star Ratings

The following rating system was applied to the data for all procedures and diagnoses:

- $\star \star \star \star \star$ Actual performance was better than predicted and the difference was statistically significant.
 - 🖌 🛨 🔺 🛛 Actual performance was not significantly different from what was predicted.
 - Actual performance was worse than predicted and the difference was statistically significant.

In general, 70% to 80% of hospitals in each procedure/diagnosis are classified as three stars, with actual results statistically the same as predicted results. Approximately 10% to 15% were 1-star hospitals and 10% to 15% were 5-star hospitals.

Limitations of the Data Models

It must be understood that while these models may be valuable in identifying hospitals that perform better than others, one should not use this information alone to determine the quality of care provided at each hospital. The models are limited by the following factors:

- Cases may have been coded incorrectly or incompletely by the hospital.
- The models can only account for risk factors that are coded into the billing data-if a particular risk
 factor was not coded into the billing data, such as a patient's socioeconomic status and health
 behavior, then it was not accounted for with these models.
- Although Health Grades, Inc. has taken steps to carefully compile these data using its methodology, no techniques are infallible, and therefore some information may be missing, outdated or incorrect.

Please note that a high ranking for a particular hospital is not a recommendation or endorsement by Health Grades, Inc. of a particular hospital; it means that the data associated with a particular hospital has met the foregoing qualifications. Only individual patients can decide whether a particular hospital is suited for their unique needs.

Also note that if more than one hospital reported to CMS under a single provider ID, HealthGrades analyzed patient outcome data for those hospitals as a single unit. (Throughout this document, therefore, "hospital" refers to one hospital or a group of hospitals reporting under a single provider ID.)



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 30 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Patient Cohort Definitions

Excluded from each cohort were patients who have had any organ transplant.

Cardiac Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Coronary Bypass Surgery (CABG) (Isolated) Principal Procedure	 Coronary bypass for cardiac revascularization with: internal mammary artery or vein One or more vessels Without valve replacement or repair Can have a PCI in this stay 	 Valve repair & replacement Aortic aneurysm repair Carotid endarterectomy Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day
Coronary Interventional Procedures (PCI) Principal Procedure of PCI or Principal Procedure Intra-aortic balloon pump with PCI secondary	 Removal of coronary artery obstruction Single or multi-vessel Atherectomy or balloon angioplasty with or without thrombolytic agent Stent can be bare metal or drug eluting Can be multi-vessel 	 Open chest Case associated with CABG or Valve in this stay
Heart Attack Principal Diagnosis	 Anterior, interior, posterior, lateral, RV infarcts ST elevation Non ST elevation Not otherwise specified Includes patients who receive thrombolytics, PCI, CABG, or medical management only 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Discharge to hospice
Heart Failure Principal Diagnosis	 Systolic or diastolic, combined, left, valvular, acute or chronic, decompensated or compensated 	 Dialysis in this stay (39.95) Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Discharge to hospice
Valve Replacement Surgery Principal or Secondary Procedure	 Replacement with repair Aortic, mitral, pulmonary, or tricuspid With or without CABG 	 Repair without replacement Thoracic and aortic dissections Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day

HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 31 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Critical Care Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Diabetic Acidosis and Coma Principal Diagnosis	With comaHypoglycemic shock	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day
Sepsis Principal Diagnosis	 Salmonella septicemia Listeriosis Meningococemia Streptococcal septicemia Staphylococcus aureus Pneumococcal septicemia Septicemia due to anaerobes Gram-negative organisms Hemophilus influenzae Escherichia coli Pseudomonas Serratia Unspecified organism Herpetic septicemia Septic shock SIRS 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day Discharge to hospice
Pulmonary Embolism Principal Diagnosis	 Pulmonary embolism & infarction latrogenic 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day Discharge to hospice
Respiratory Failure Principal Diagnosis	 Acute respiratory failure Acute on chronic respiratory failure 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Discharge to hospice

HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 32 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Gastrointestinal Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Bowel Obstruction Principal Diagnosis	 Duodenal ulcer with obstruction Gastrojejunal ulcer with obstruction Pyloric stenosis Other obstruction of duodenum Hernia with obstruction Intestinal obstruction without hernia Gallstone ileus Intussception 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Discharge to hospice Patients deceased with a length of stay < 1 day
Cholecystectomy Principal Procedure	 Open or laparoscopic Partial Revision of prior	Palliative care patients (V66.7)Discharge to hospice
Gastrointestinal Bleed Principal Diagnosis	 Bleeding/hemorrhage in any of the following areas: Esophageal varices Ulcer of esophagus Gastric ulcer Duodenal ulcer Peptic ulcer Gastrojejunal Gastritis or duodenitis Angiodysplasia of stomach & duodenum Diverticulosis Rectum or anus Dieulafoy lesion of intestine Hematemasis Melena Hemorrhage of GI tract, unspecified 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Discharge to hospice Patients deceased with a length of stay < 1 day
Gastrointestinal Surgery & Procedures Principal Procedure	 Gastrectomies Vagotomies Gastric repairs Small and large intestine resections Small and large intestine anastomoses 	 Primary & metastatic cancers
Pancreatitis Principal Diagnosis	AcuteChronic	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Discharge to hospice



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 33 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Orthopedic Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Back & Neck Surgery (except Spinal Fusion) Principal Procedure	 Cervical, Thoracic, and/or Lumbar spine laminectomy, laminoplasty, foraminectomy, Repair of vertebral fracture Elevation of spinal bone fragments Reduction of vertebral fracture Removal on bony spicules Excision or destruction of inter-vertebral disc Removal of herniated nucleus pulpsus Insertion of posterior spinal motion preservation devices 	 Post laminectomy syndrome: cervical, thoracic, or lumbar Reopening of laminecotmy site Repair of joint structure Kyphoplasty Vertebroplasty Patients with secondary bone cancer
Back & Neck Surgery (Spinal Fusion) Principal Procedure	 Fusion at any level: spinal, cervical, dorsal, dorsolumbar, lumbar, lumbosacral Single incision approach Any number of vertebrae 	 Post laminectomy syndrome Reopening Refusion Kyphoplast Vertebroplasty Patients with secondary bone cancer
Hip Fracture Repair Principal Procedure	 Closed reduction with internal fixation Open reduction with and without internal fixation Partial hip replacement 	 Hip replacement/Knee replacement during same hospital stay Open skull fractures with lacerations Open transcervical fractures Open femur neck and trochanter fractures Femur shaft fractures Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day Palliative care patients (V66.7) Discharge to hospice
Total Knee Replacement Principal Procedure	 Primary total knee replacement (bicompartmental, tricompartmental, unicompartmental (hemijoint)) Bilaterals 	 Revision Accidental injury Removal of hardware Hip replacement (total or partial); hip resurfacing
Total Hip Replacement Principal Procedure	 Primary total hip replacement Bilaterals Partial and total hip resurfacing 	 Knee replacement Revision Accidental injury Removal of hardware Femur neck fractures





HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 34 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Prostatectomy

Excluded from each cohort were patients who have had any organ transplant.

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Prostatectomy Principal Procedure	 Transurethral Suprapubic Retropubic Radical Perineal Local excision of lesion of prostate 	

Pulmonary Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Principal Diagnosis	 Chronic bronchitis Simple & obstructive bronchitis with and without exacerbation Other emphysema Chronic obstructive asthma Bronchiectasis Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified 	 Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Discharge to hospice
Pneumonia Principal Diagnosis	 Streptococcal – Group A & Group B Staph pneumonia Gram negative Hemophilus influenzae Mycoplasma Chlamydia Bronchopneumonia Influenza w/ pneumonia Legionnaire's Organism not specified 	 SARS-related Metastatic cancers Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Discharge to hospice



HealthGrades Hospital Quality and Clinical Excellence Study 2010 - 35 Appendix D: Hospital Report Cards™ Mortality and Complication Based Outcomes Methodology

Stroke Service Line

Excluded from each cohort were patients who have had any organ transplant.

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Stroke Principal Diagnosis	 Subarachnoid hemorrhage Intracerebral hemorrhage Unspecified intracranial hemorrhage Occlusion and stenosis of basilar, carotid, and vertebral artery Cerebral thrombosis, embolism, or occlusion Acute but ill-defined cerebrovascular disease 	 Metastatic cancers Hospitals transferring more than 10% of their population Subdural bleeds Extradural bleeds Palliative care patients (V66.7) Left ventricular assist device (V43.21) Respirator dependent status (V46.11) Patients deceased with a length of stay < 1 day Patients discharged alive with a length of stay < 1 day Discharge to hospice

Vascular Service Line

Cohort	Inclusions	Exclusions
Carotid Surgery Principal Procedure	 Carotid endarterectomy Endovascular graft Percutaneous insertion of carotid stent Percutaneous angioplasty of precerebral vessels 	 Patients with coronary bypass surgery Resection of other peripheral vessel with anastomosis Subarachnoid, intracerebral and subdural hemorrhage
Peripheral Vascular Bypass Principal Procedure	 Peripheral vascular shunt or bypass: Axillary-brachial Axillary-femoral Brachial Femoral-femoral Femoroperoneal Femoropopliteal Femorotibial Popliteal Vascular bypass not otherwise specified 	 Resection of upper extremities vascular procedures Revisions
Resection /Replacement Abdominal Aorta Rupture & Elective Principal Procedure	 Excision of aneurysm and resection with anastomosis with and without replacement of the aorta Endovascular implantation of a graft in the abdominal aorta 	 Aortic dissection Patients with CABG & Valves Incision of vessel for embolectomy or thrombectomy Aorto-renal bypass Aorto-iliac-femoral bypass Angioplasty of non-coronary vessel Resection of thoracic vessel Patients that have a combination of stenting/anastomosis procedures

